

TJS:MH:JL
F. #2005R01825

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FILED
RECEIVED OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OCT 04 2006
BROOKLYN OFFICE

-----X

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

SUPERSEDING
INDICTMENT

- against -

GINO GALESTRO,
JOSEPH YOUNG,
 also known as "Joe Black,"
STEFAN CICALA and
JOSE GARCIA,

Cr. No. 06-285 (S-3) (NGG)
(T. 18, U.S.C., §§ 892(a),
894(a)(1), 922(a)(3),
922(a)(6), 924(a)(1)(D),
924(a)(2), 924(c)(1)(A)(ii),
1951(a), 1952(a)(3)(A),
1958, 1959(a)(1),
1959(a)(3), 1959(a)(5),
1959(a)(6), 1962(c),
1962(d), 1963, 2119(1),
2, 3 and 3551 et seq.;
T. 21, U.S.C., §§ 841(a)(1),
841(b)(1)(C) and 846)

Defendants.

-----X

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

INTRODUCTION TO ALL COUNTS

At all times relevant to this superseding indictment,
unless otherwise indicated:

The Enterprise

1. The members and associates of the Bonanno organized crime family of La Cosa Nostra (the "Bonanno family") constituted an "enterprise," as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1961(4), that is, a group of individuals associated in fact. The Bonanno family engaged in, and its activities affected, interstate and foreign commerce. The Bonanno family was an organized criminal group that operated in

the Eastern District of New York, other parts of the United States and Canada.

2. The Bonanno family operated through groups of individuals headed by "captains," who were also referred to as "skippers," "caporegimes" and "capodecinas." These groups, which were referred to as "crews," "regimes" and "decinas," consisted of "made" members of the Bonanno family, also referred to as "soldiers," "friends of ours," "good fellows" and "buttons," as well as associates of the Bonanno family.

3. Each captain was responsible for supervising the criminal activities of his crew and providing crew members and associates with support and protection. In return, the captain received a share of the earnings of each of the crew's members and associates.

4. Above the captains were the three highest-ranking members of the Bonanno family. The head of the Bonanno family was known as the "boss." He was assisted by an "underboss" and a counselor, who was known as the "consigliere." With the assistance of the underboss and consigliere, the boss was responsible for setting policy, resolving disputes between members and associates of the Bonanno family and members and associates of other criminal organizations, and approving all significant actions taken by members and associates of the Bonanno family, including murder.

5. The boss, underboss and consigliere of the Bonanno family, who were sometimes referred to collectively as the "administration," supervised, supported, protected and disciplined the captains, soldiers and associates and regularly received reports regarding the activities of the members and associates of the Bonanno family. In return for their supervision and protection, the boss, underboss and consigliere received part of the illegal earnings of each crew. When a member of the administration was unable to fulfill his criminal responsibilities because of incarceration, ill health or other reason, a member of the Bonanno family was often appointed to that position in an acting capacity.

6. The Bonanno family was part of a nationwide criminal organization known by various names, including the "mafia" and "La Cosa Nostra," which operated through entities known as "families." The ruling body of this nationwide organization was known as the "commission," the membership of which at various times has included the bosses of the five New York City-based families, to wit: the Bonanno, Colombo, Gambino, Genovese and Luchese organized crime families. For a period of time, the boss of the Bonanno family was removed from membership within the commission.

7. From time to time, the Bonanno family would propose a list of associates to be "made," that is, to become

members of the Bonanno family. The list would be circulated to the other families based in New York City.

The Purposes, Methods and Means of the Enterprise

8. The Bonanno family constituted an ongoing organization, whose members functioned as a continuing unit for a common purpose of achieving the objectives of the enterprise. The principal purpose of the Bonanno family was to generate money for its members and associates. This purpose was implemented by members and associates of the Bonanno family through various criminal activities, including arson, credit card fraud, drug trafficking, extortion, kidnaping, illegal gambling, interstate transportation of stolen goods, loansharking, and robbery. The members and associates of the Bonanno family also furthered the enterprise's criminal activities by threatening economic injury and using and threatening to use physical violence, including murder.

9. Although the primary purpose of the Bonanno family was to generate money for its members and associates, the members and associates at times used the resources of the Bonanno family to settle personal grievances and vendettas, sometimes with the approval of higher-ranking members of the Bonanno family. For those purposes, members and associates of the enterprise were asked and expected to carry out, among other crimes, acts of violence, including murder and assault.

10. The members and associates of the Bonanno family engaged in conduct designed to prevent government detection of their identities, their illegal activities and the location of proceeds of those activities. That conduct included a commitment to murdering persons, particularly members or associates of organized crime families, who were perceived as potential witnesses against members and associates of the enterprise.

11. Members and associates of the Bonanno family often coordinated street-level criminal activity, such as drug trafficking, loansharking, extortion, and mail fraud, with members and associates of other organized crime families.

The Defendants

12. At various times, the defendant GINO GALESTRO was a soldier and an associate within the Bonanno family.

13. At various times, the defendants JOSEPH YOUNG, also known as "Joe Black," STEFAN CICALI and JOSE GARCIA were associates within the Bonanno family.

COUNT ONE (Racketeering)

14. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

15. In or about and between January 1999 and May 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the

Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants GINO GALESTRO, JOSEPH YOUNG, also known as "Joe Black," STEFAN CICALA and JOSE GARCIA, together with others, being persons employed by and associated with the Bonanno family, an enterprise that engaged in, and the activities of which affected, interstate commerce, knowingly and intentionally conducted and participated, directly and indirectly, in the conduct of the affairs of that enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1961(1) and (5), consisting of the racketeering acts set forth below.

RACKETEERING ACT ONE
(Murder/Murder Conspiracy)

16. The defendants named below committed the following acts, either one of which alone constitutes racketeering act one:

A. Conspiracy to Murder Robert McKelvey

17. In or about and between December 2004 and March 29, 2005, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants GINO GALESTRO and JOSEPH YOUNG, together with others, knowingly and intentionally conspired to cause the death of Robert McKelvey, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 125.25(1) and 105.15.

B. Murder of Robert McKelvey

18. On or about March 29, 2005, within the Eastern District of New York, the defendants GINO GALESTRO and JOSEPH

YOUNG, together with others, with intent to cause the death of Robert McKelvey caused his death, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 125.25(1) and 20.00.

RACKETEERING ACT TWO
(Arson/Arson Conspiracy)

19. The defendants named below committed the following acts, either one of which alone constitutes racketeering act two:

A. Arson Conspiracy

20. In or about and between November 2005 and January 27, 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants GINO GALESTRO and JOSEPH YOUNG, together with others, knowingly and intentionally conspired to damage a building, to wit: a house located at 215 Hamden Avenue, Staten Island, New York, by starting a fire, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 150.15 and 105.10.

B. Arson

21. On or about January 27, 2006, within the Eastern District of New York, the defendants GINO GALESTRO and JOSEPH YOUNG, together with others, knowingly and intentionally damaged a building, to wit: a house located at 215 Hamden Avenue, Staten Island, New York, by starting a fire, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 150.15 and 20.00.

RACKETEERING ACT THREE

(Robbery/Robbery Conspiracy - John Doe #1)

22. The defendant named below committed the following acts, either one of which alone constitutes racketeering act three:

A. Robbery Conspiracy

23. In or about and between August 2003 and March 15, 2004, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant GINO GALESTRO, together with others, knowingly and intentionally conspired to forcibly steal property, to wit: money, from John Doe #1, an individual whose identity is known to the grand jury, while aided by another person actually present, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 160.10(1) and 105.10.

B. Robbery

24. On or about March 15, 2004, within the Eastern District of New York, the defendant GINO GALESTRO, together with others, forcibly stole property, to wit: money, from John Doe #1, while aided by another person actually present, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 160.10(1) and 20.00.

RACKETEERING ACT FOUR

(Extortionate Collection of Credit - John Doe #2)

25. The defendant named below committed the following acts, either one of which alone constitutes racketeering act four:

A. Extortionate Collection of Credit Conspiracy

26. In or about and between October 2005 and January 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant STEFAN CICALÉ, together with others, knowingly and intentionally conspired to use extortionate means to collect an extension of credit from John Doe #2, an individual whose identity is known to the grand jury, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 894(a)(1).

B. Extortionate Collection of Credit

27. In or about and between October 2005 and January 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York, the defendant STEFAN CICALÉ, together with others, knowingly and intentionally participated in the use of extortionate means to collect and attempt to collect an extension of credit from John Doe #2, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 894(a)(1) and 2.

RACKETEERING ACT FIVE

(Extortionate Collection of Credit - John Doe #3)

28. The defendants named below committed the following acts, either one of which alone constitutes racketeering act five:

A. Extortionate Collection of Credit Conspiracy

29. In or about and between November 2005 and January 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants STEFAN CICALÉ and JOSE GARCIA, together with others, knowingly and intentionally conspired to use extortionate means to collect an extension of credit from John Doe #3, an individual whose identity is known to the grand jury, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 894(a)(1).

B. Extortionate Collection of Credit

30. In or about and between November 2005 and January 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York, the defendants STEFAN CICALÉ and JOSE GARCIA, together with others, knowingly and intentionally participated in the use of extortionate means to collect and attempt to collect an extension of credit from John Doe #3, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 894(a)(1) and 2.

RACKETEERING ACT SIX

(Extortionate Extension of Credit - John Doe #4)

31. In or about January 2004, within the Eastern District of New York, the defendant GINO GALESTRO knowingly and intentionally made an extortionate extension of credit to John Doe #4, an individual whose identity is known to the grand jury, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 892(a).

RACKETEERING ACT SEVEN

(Extortionate Extension of Credit Conspiracy)

32. In or about and between January 1999 and November 2002, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant GINO GALESTRO, together with others, knowingly and intentionally conspired to make extortionate extensions of credit, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 892(a).

RACKETEERING ACT EIGHT

(Robbery Conspiracy - John Doe #5)

33. In or about and between October 2005 and January 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants JOSEPH YOUNG and JOSE GARCIA, together with others, knowingly and intentionally conspired to forcibly steal property, to wit: money, from John Doe #5, an individual whose identity is known to the grand jury, while aided by another person actually present, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 160.10(1) and 105.10.

RACKETEERING ACT NINE

(Arson/Arson Conspiracy)

34. The defendant named below committed the following acts, either one of which alone constitutes racketeering act nine:

A. Arson Conspiracy

35. In or about and between April 2004 and May 1, 2004, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the

Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant GINO GALESTRO, together with others, knowingly and intentionally conspired to damage a motor vehicle, to wit: a 1989 Mercedes Benz Model 560, in the vicinity of 85 South Goff Avenue, Staten Island, New York, by starting a fire, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 150.15 and 105.10.

B. Arson

36. On or about May 1, 2004, within the Eastern District of New York, the defendant GINO GALESTRO, together with others, knowingly and intentionally damaged a motor vehicle, to wit: a 1989 Mercedes Benz Model 560, in the vicinity of 85 South Goff Avenue, Staten Island, New York, by starting a fire, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 150.15 and 20.00.

RACKETEERING ACT TEN

(Larceny by Extortion - John Doe #6)

37. The defendant named below committed the following acts, either one of which alone constitutes racketeering act ten:

A. Larceny by Extortion Conspiracy

38. In or about and between January 2005 and January 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant GINO GALESTRO, together with others, knowingly and intentionally conspired to steal property by extortion, in that the defendant and others agreed to obtain property, to wit: money, from John

Doe #6, an individual whose identity is known to the grand jury, by instilling in John Doe #6 a fear that the defendant and others would cause physical injury to John Doe #6 in the future, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 155.40(2) and 105.10.

B. Larceny by Extortion

39. In or about and between January 2005 and January 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant GINO GALESTRO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally steal property by extortion, in that the defendant and others obtained property, to wit: money, from John Doe #6, by instilling in John Doe #6 a fear that the defendant and others would cause physical injury to John Doe #6 in the future, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 155.40(2) and 20.00.

RACKETEERING ACT ELEVEN

(Narcotics Conspiracy/Narcotics Distribution)

40. The defendant named below committed the following acts, either one of which alone constitutes racketeering act eleven:

A. Narcotics Conspiracy

41. In or about and between November 2005 and December 18, 2005, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant JOSE GARCIA, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to distribute and possess with intent to

distribute a substance containing cocaine, a Schedule II controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a), all in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846.

B. Narcotics Distribution

42. On or about December 18, 2005, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant JOSE GARCIA, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally distribute and possess with intent to distribute a substance containing cocaine, a Schedule II controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1962(c), 1963 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT TWO
(Racketeering Conspiracy)

43. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

44. In or about and between January 1999 and May 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants GINO GALESTRO, JOSEPH YOUNG, also known as "Joe Black," STEFAN CICALA and JOSE GARCIA, together with others, being persons employed by and associated with the Bonanno family, an enterprise that

engaged in, and the activities of which affected, interstate and foreign commerce, knowingly and intentionally conspired to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1962(c), that is, to conduct and participate, directly and indirectly, in the conduct of the affairs of that enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1961(1) and 1961(5).

45. The pattern of racketeering activity through which the above-named defendants, together with others, agreed to conduct the affairs of the enterprise consisted of the racketeering acts set forth in paragraphs 16 through 42 of Count One as Racketeering Acts One through Eleven, which are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth herein. The defendants agreed that a conspirator would commit at least two acts of racketeering in the conduct of the affairs of the enterprise.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1962(d), 1963 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT THREE

(Conspiracy to Commit Murder in Aid of Racketeering)

46. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

47. The Bonanno family enterprise, through its members and associates, engaged in racketeering activity, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1959(b)(1) and

1961(1), that is, acts involving murder, arson, robbery and extortion, in violation of New York State Penal Law, acts indictable under Title 18, United States Code, Sections 892, 893 and 894 (the making, financing, and collecting of extortionate extensions of credit), acts indictable under Title 18, United States Code, Section 1951 (extortion), and acts involving narcotics trafficking, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841 and 846.

48. In or about and between December 2004 and March 29, 2005, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants GINO GALESTRO and JOSEPH YOUNG, also known as "Joe Black," together with others, as consideration for the receipt of, and as consideration for a promise and agreement to pay, something of pecuniary value from the Bonanno family, an enterprise engaged in racketeering activity, and for the purpose of gaining entrance to and maintaining and increasing position in the Bonanno family, knowingly and intentionally conspired to murder Robert McKelvey, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 125.25(1) and 105.15.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1959(a)(5) and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT FOUR

(Murder in Aid of Racketeering)

49. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 and 47 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

50. On or about March 29, 2005, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants GINO GALESTRO and JOSEPH YOUNG, also known as "Joe Black," together with others, as consideration for the receipt of, and as consideration for a promise and agreement to pay, something of pecuniary value from the Bonanno family, an enterprise engaged in racketeering activity, and for the purpose of gaining entrance to and maintaining and increasing position in the Bonnano family, knowingly and intentionally murdered Robert McKelvey, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 125.25(1) and 20.00.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1959(a)(1), 2 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT FIVE

(Accessory After the Fact - McKelvey Murder)

51. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 and 47 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

52. On or about March 29, 2005, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants STEFAN CICALE and JOSE GARCIA, knowing that an offense against the United

States had been committed, to wit: the murder of Robert McKelvey for the purpose of maintaining and increasing position within the Bonanno family, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1959(a)(1), did knowingly and intentionally assist an offender in order to hinder and prevent his apprehension, trial and punishment.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT SIX
(Murder-for-Hire Conspiracy)

53. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

54. In or about and between December 2004 and March 29, 2005, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants GINO GALESTRO and JOSEPH YOUNG, also known as "Joe Black," together with others, knowingly and intentionally conspired to travel and cause another to travel in interstate and foreign commerce and to use and cause another to use the mail and a facility in interstate commerce, with intent that a murder be committed in violation of New York Penal Law Section 125.25(1), as consideration for the receipt of, and as consideration for a

promise and agreement to pay, something of pecuniary value, and the death of Robert McKelvey did result.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1958 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT SEVEN
(Murder-for-Hire)

55. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

56. In or about and between December 2004 and March 29, 2005, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants GINO GALESTRO and JOSEPH YOUNG, also known as "Joe Black," together with others, knowingly and intentionally traveled and caused another to travel in interstate and foreign commerce and used and caused another to use the mail and a facility in interstate commerce, with intent that a murder be committed in violation of New York Penal Law Section 125.25(1), as consideration for the receipt of, and as consideration for a promise and agreement to pay, something of pecuniary value, and the death of Robert McKelvey did result.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1958, 2 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT EIGHT

(Interstate Travel in Aid of Racketeering)

57. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

58. On or about January 27, 2006, within the Eastern District of New York and the District of New Jersey, the defendant JOSEPH YOUNG, also known as "Joe Black," together with others, knowingly and intentionally traveled in interstate commerce with intent to facilitate the promotion, management, establishment, and carrying on of unlawful activity, to wit: arson, in violation of New York Penal Law Section 150.15, and thereafter performed and attempted to perform the promotion, management, establishment, and carrying on of such unlawful activity, to wit: by traveling and causing other persons to travel from Staten Island, New York to New Jersey and back to Staten Island, New York for the purpose of committing arson.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1952(a)(3)(A), 2 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT NINE

(Hobbs Act Robbery Conspiracy - John Doe #1)

59. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

60. In or about and between August 2003 and March 15, 2004, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant GINO GALESTRO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to obstruct, delay and affect commerce and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce by robbery of money from John Doe #1 in the vicinity of Eagle Cheese, 320 Avenue U, Brooklyn, New York.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1951(a) and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT TEN

(Hobbs Act Robbery - John Doe #1)

61. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

62. On or about March 15, 2004, within the Eastern District of New York, the defendant GINO GALESTRO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally obstruct, delay and affect commerce and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce by robbery of money from John Doe #1 in the vicinity of Eagle Cheese, 320 Avenue U, Brooklyn, New York.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1951(a), 2 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT ELEVEN

(Extortionate Collection of Credit Conspiracy - John Doe #2)

63. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

64. In or about and between October 2005 and January 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant STEFAN CICALÉ, together with others, knowingly and intentionally conspired to use extortionate means to collect an extension of credit from John Doe #2.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 894(a)(1) and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT TWELVE

(Extortionate Collection of Credit - John Doe #2)

65. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

66. In or about and between October 2005 and January 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York, the defendant STEFAN CICALÉ, together with others, knowingly and intentionally participated in the use of extortionate means to collect and attempt to collect an extension of credit from John Doe #2.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 894(a)(1), 2 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT THIRTEEN

(Extortionate Collection of Credit Conspiracy - John Doe #3)

67. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

68. In or about and between November 2005 and January 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants STEFAN CICALÉ and JOSE GARCIA, together with others, knowingly and intentionally conspired to use extortionate means to collect an extension of credit from John Doe #3.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 894(a)(1) and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT FOURTEEN

(Extortionate Collection of Credit - John Doe #3)

69. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

70. In or about and between November 2005 and January 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York, the defendants STEFAN CICALÉ and JOSE GARCIA, together with others, knowingly and intentionally participated in the use of extortionate means to

collect and attempt to collect an extension of credit from John Doe #3.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 894(a)(1), 2 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT FIFTEEN

(Extortionate Extension of Credit - John Doe #4)

71. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

72. In or about January 2004, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant GINO GALESTRO knowingly and intentionally made an extortionate extension of credit to John Doe #4.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 892(a), 2 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT SIXTEEN

(Extortionate Collection of Credit Conspiracy - John Doe #4)

73. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

74. In or about and between January 2004 and May 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant GINO GALESTRO, together with others, knowingly and intentionally

conspired to use extortionate means to collect an extension of credit from John Doe #4.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 894(a)(1) and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT SEVENTEEN

(Extortionate Collection of Credit - John Doe #4)

75. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

76. In or about and between January 2004 and May 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York, the defendant GINO GALESTRO, together with others, knowingly and intentionally participated in the use of extortionate means to collect and attempt to collect an extension of credit from John Doe #4.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 894(a)(1), 2 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT EIGHTEEN

(Hobbs Act Robbery Conspiracy - John Doe #5)

77. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

78. In or about and between October 2005 and January 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants

JOSEPH YOUNG, also known as "Joe Black," and JOSE GARCIA, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to obstruct, delay and affect commerce and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce by robbery of money from John Doe #5 in the vicinity of Bella Roma Restaurant and Pizzeria, 264L Middle Country Road, Coram, New York.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1951(a) and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT NINETEEN

(Conspiracy to Commit Assault in Aid of Racketeering - John Doe #7)

79. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 and 47 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

80. In or about and between April 2005 and May 12, 2005, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants GINO GALESTRO, JOSEPH YOUNG, also known as "Joe Black," and JOSE GARCIA, together with others, as consideration for the receipt of, and as consideration for a promise and agreement to pay, something of pecuniary value from the Bonanno family, an enterprise engaged in racketeering activity, and for the purpose of gaining entrance to and maintaining and increasing position in the Bonanno family, knowingly and intentionally conspired to commit assault with a dangerous weapon and to commit assault resulting in serious bodily injury to John Doe #7, an individual

whose identity is known to the grand jury, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 120.10(1) and 105.10.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1959(a)(6) and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT TWENTY

(Assault in Aid of Racketeering - John Doe #7)

81. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 and 47 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

82. On or about May 12, 2005, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants GINO GALESTRO, JOSEPH YOUNG, also known as "Joe Black," and JOSE GARCIA, together with others, as consideration for the receipt of, and as consideration for a promise and agreement to pay, something of pecuniary value from the Bonanno family, an enterprise engaged in racketeering activity, and for the purpose of gaining entrance to and maintaining and increasing position in the Bonanno family, knowingly and intentionally committed assault with a dangerous weapon and assault resulting in serious bodily injury to John Doe #7, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 120.10(1) and 20.00.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1959(a)(3), 2 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT TWENTY-ONE
(Narcotics Conspiracy)

83. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

84. In or about and between November 2005 and December 18, 2005, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant JOSE GARCIA, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to distribute and possess with intent to distribute a substance containing cocaine, a Schedule II controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1).

(Title 21, United States Code, Sections 846 and 841(b)(1)(C); Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3551 et seq.)

COUNT TWENTY-TWO
(Narcotics Distribution)

85. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

86. On or about December 18, 2005, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant JOSE GARCIA, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally

distribute and possess with intent to distribute a substance containing cocaine, a Schedule II controlled substance.

(Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(C); Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT TWENTY-THREE
(Carjacking)

87. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

88. On or about July 1, 2005, within the District of New Jersey, the defendant JOSEPH YOUNG, also known as "Joe Black," with the intent to cause death and serious bodily harm, did knowingly and intentionally take a motor vehicle that had been transported, shipped and received in interstate commerce from the person and presence of another by force and violence and by intimidation.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2119(1), 2 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT TWENTY-FOUR
(Using, Carrying and Possessing a Firearm)

89. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

90. On or about July 1, 2005, within the District of New Jersey, the defendant JOSEPH YOUNG, also known as "Joe Black," knowingly and intentionally used and carried a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence, to wit: the crime charged in Count Twenty-Three, and knowingly and intentionally possessed such firearm in furtherance of such crime of violence.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 924(c)(1)(A)(ii), 2 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT TWENTY-FIVE

(False Statement in Acquiring a Firearm and Ammunition).

91. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

92. On or about August 29, 2005, within the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, the defendant JOSEPH YOUNG, also known as "Joe Black," in connection with the acquisition and attempted acquisition of a firearm and ammunition from a licensed importer, licensed manufacturer, licensed dealer and licensed collector, did knowingly and intentionally make a false and fictitious oral and written statement intended and likely to deceive such importer, manufacturer, dealer and collector with respect to a fact material to the lawfulness of the sale and other disposition of such firearm and ammunition, in that the defendant represented to a licensed dealer of firearms that he resided in the State of

Pennsylvania, when in fact, as the defendant then and there well knew and believed, he resided in the State of New York.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 922(a)(6), 924(a)(2) and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT TWENTY-SIX

(Transporting a Firearm from Out of State)

93. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

94. On or about August 29, 2005, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant JOSEPH YOUNG, also known as "Joe Black," not being a licensed importer, licensed manufacturer, licensed dealer or licensed collector of firearms, did knowingly and willfully transport into and receive in the State where he resided, to wit: the State of New York, a firearm purchased and otherwise obtained by him outside of that State.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 922(a)(3), 924(a)(1)(D), 2 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT TWENTY-SEVEN

(False Statement in Acquiring a Firearm)

95. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

96. On or about November 28, 2005, within the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, the defendant JOSEPH YOUNG, also known as "Joe Black," in connection with the acquisition and attempted acquisition of a firearm from a licensed importer, licensed manufacturer, licensed dealer and licensed collector, did knowingly and intentionally make a false and fictitious oral and written statement intended and likely to deceive such importer, manufacturer, dealer and collector with respect to a fact material to the lawfulness of the sale and other disposition of such firearm and ammunition, in that the defendant represented to a licensed dealer of firearms that he resided in the State of Pennsylvania, when in fact, as the defendant then and there well knew and believed, he resided in the State of New York.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 922(a)(6), 924(a)(2) and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT TWENTY-EIGHT

(Transporting a Firearm from Out of State)

97. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through 13 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

98. On or about November 28, 2005, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant JOSEPH YOUNG, also known as "Joe Black," not being a licensed importer, licensed manufacturer, licensed dealer or licensed collector of firearms, did knowingly and willfully transport into and receive


in the State where he resided, to wit: the State of New York, a firearm purchased and otherwise obtained by him outside of that State.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 922(a)(3), 924(a)(1)(D), 2 and 3551 et seq.)

A TRUE BILL


FOREPERSON

ROSLYNN R. MAUSKOPF
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BY: 
ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
PURSUANT TO 28 C.F.R. 0.136

No. CR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN District of NEW YORK

CRIMINAL Division

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

GINO GALESTRO, et al.

Defendant(s).

SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

(T. 18, U.S.C., §§ 892(a), 894(a)(1), 922(a)(3), 922(a)(6), 924(a)(1)(D), 924(a)(2), 924(c)(1)(A)(ii), 1951(a), 1952(a)(3)(A), 1958, 1959(a)(1), 1959(a)(3), 1959(a)(5), 1959(a)(6), 1962(c), 1962(d), 1963, 2119(1), 2, 3 and 3551 et seq.; T. 21, U.S.C., §§ 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(C) and 846)

A true bill.


Foreman

Filed in open court this _____ day,

of _____ A.D. 19 _____

Clerk

Bail, \$ _____

AUSA JOEY LIPTON, (718) 254-6254